Abstract

Background: Vitamin D deficiency is now recognized as a pandemic. An estimated 1 billion people worldwide, across all ethnicities and age groups, have a vitamin D deficiency (VDD). This pandemic of hypovitaminosis D can mainly be attributed to lifestyle (for example, reduced outdoor activities) and environmental (for example, air pollution) factors that reduce exposure to sunlight. High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is a particularly important public health issue because hypovitaminosis D is an independent risk factor for total mortality in the general population. The current study sought to uncover the population-wide true prevalence of Hypovitaminosis D in Bangladesh by harnessing large amount patient data and subsequently analyze the vitamin D levels of the pre-categorized age and gender groups.

Methods: In this cross-sectional observational study, serum samples were collected from 7951 selected cases (including children and adult) who attended both indoor and outdoor department of a Private hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh. Study period was from July 2017 to June 2018. Levels of 25(OH)D (in ng/ml) was done in every case using the chemiluminescent micro-particle immunoassay.

Results: 81.36% (n=6469) of the study population was affected with Hypovitaminosis D Only a small fraction of study subjects (n=58) representing 0.7% of the total study-population was suffering from vitamin D toxicity. Among the study subjects (n=6469) with hypovitaminosis D, 56.60% patients (n=4501) were deficient in vitamin D while the rest of the study subjects - 24.70% were insufficient (n=1967). Highest female to male ratio was found for the deficient group (3.52) followed by the insufficient group (2.70) and normative group (2.44). The lowest female to male ratio was identified for toxic group (1.63). Regarding age impact, the predominance of Vitamin D deficiency compared of the study subjects with age from 20 to 60. For males, Vitamin D deficiency fraction is almost uniformly distributed ranging from 39% to 46% across all age group with the exception of the age group ≥80 in which the fraction of the Vitamin D deficient subjects was 25%. In contrast, for females, there was a large variation of in the number of Vitamin D deficient subjects. For instance, the highest fraction of Vitamin D deficient subjects were identified in the age group 10-19 (69%) closely followed by 20-21 (68%) and 30-39 (67%). The later age groups – 40-49, 50-59, 60-69 and 70-79 showed a decreased fraction of Vitamin D deficient subjects – 59%, 53%, 46% and 45% respectively.

Conclusion: As there is continuous increasing number of VDD patients, our findings strengthen the rationale for widespread vitamin D supplementation to prevent premature mortality, emphasize the need for it early in life and mitigate concerns about a possible negative effect at higher levels.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Vitamin D is known as sunshine vitamin. Vitamin D deficiency (VDD) is an emerging challenge in our daily clinical practice due to its increased incidence. Currently half of the world population are being affected by VDD1. Due to its alarming increase in incidence, VDD is being considered among one of the pandemic non-communicable diseases2. The definition of Hypovitaminosis D includes both deficiency and insufficiency states. Different literature and different society has defined these two condition in different ways due to lack of current consensus regarding the cutoff value for the definition of vitamin deficiency3. Levels of 25(OH)D are interpreted as follows4: 21-29 ng/mL (52.5-72.5 nmol/L): Vitamin D insufficiency and < 20 ng/mL (< 50 nmol/L): Vitamin D deficiency. This cutoff value is suitable most for tropical country like Bangladesh. In India, a similar tropical country like Bangladesh, The Endocrine Society of India defines 25(OH)D levels between 20 and 40 ng/mL (50–100 nmol/L) as adequate for most of the population5. Few literature recommends that, the serum 25(OH)D >30 ng/mL (75 nmol/L) may provide additional health benefits than a cutoff above 20 ng/mL (50 nmol/L) for individuals presenting with conditions such as osteoporosis, obesity, pregnancy, lactation, elderly, malabsorption syndromes, renal or liver insufficiency, and medications interfering with the Vitamin D metabolism5,6.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This cross-sectional observational study was performed on 7951 patients (including children & adult) who attended both Outdoor and Indoor Departments of a Private Hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh over the period of July 2017 to June 2018. All the patients presented with the suggestive clinical presentation, such as bodyache, muscle pain, fatigue, fibromyalgia, retarded growth in children. After collecting their vitamin D level, data were analyzed according to age and gender using powerful statistical tests/algorithm. To estimate the true prevalence, the number of subjects attended the private hospital in Dhaka within the same time frame (July 2017 to June 2018) was considered as the background population for normalization purpose.

**RESULTS**

To predict the occurrence of population wide hypovitaminosis D, the most limiting factor is the sample size. To overcome this challenge and to strengthen the statistical power, the current study includes a large number of study-subjects (n=7951) that were tested for serum vitamin D (25 hydroxy Vitamin D) levels during the period of one year (July 2017- June 2018). To stratify the study subjects according to their serum vitamin D levels, we took advantage of previously published data and categorize the study population into four groups: Deficient, Insufficient, normative and toxic. Both deficient and insufficient groups constitute Hypovitaminosis D group.

The analysis revealed that 81.36% (n=6469) of the study population was affected with Hypovitaminosis D while the rest of the study subjects exhibited mostly normative in terms of serum vitamin D level. Only a small fraction of study subjects (n=58) representing 0.7% of the total study-population was suffering from vitamin D toxicity. Among the study subjects (n=6469) with Hypovitaminosis D, 56.60% patients (n=4501) were deficient in vitamin D while the rest of the study subjects - 24.70% were insufficient (n=1967). The frequency distribution of the vitamin D levels (ng/mL) across the study subjects is shown (Figure 1). The distribution analysis revealed a skewed distribution towards the lower levels of vitamin D instead of a Gaussian distribution implying that majority of the study subjects are actually suffering from Hypovitaminosis D. The lowest level of vitamin D was recorded (4.12 ng/ml) for a female patient of 37 year age whereas the highest level of vitamin D was observed (102 ng/ml) for another female patient of 48 year. The histogram also shows the number of study subjects that belonged to a particular group. However, the predicted occurrence was biased due to the over representation of the Hypovitaminosis D patients, as the study-subjects were tested for vitamin-D levels due to clinical symptoms. Therefore to overcome this bias and to estimate the true population-wide prevalence of hypovitaminosis D, a normalization method was performed. By taking into account the total number of subjects (n=35000) that visited the diagnostic center during the same period as the current study (July 2017-June 2018) we were able to estimate the natural prevalence of population-wide hypovitaminosis D. This normalization yielded the natural prevalence of population-wide hypovitaminosis D in Bangladesh.
is around 18% among which Vitamin D deficiency superseded insufficiency. Altogether these findings revealed an interesting scenario in Bangladesh where the severe form of Hypovitaminosis D (deficiency) patients is far more predominant than less severe form (insufficiency) in Bangladesh.

The Impact of Age on the Vitamin D Levels of the Study Population

Since age may play a major role in vitamin D levels and old age is associated with Hypovitaminosis D, we sought to investigate the correlation of the age of study subjects and their vitamin D levels. The frequency distribution analysis of the age of the study subjects showed resemblance to a Gaussian distribution pattern as expected (Figure 2). When the vitamin D levels based clinical stratifications (deficient, insufficient, normative and toxic) of the study subjects were superimposed onto the age histograms, it revealed the predominance of Vitamin D deficiency compared of the study subjects with age from 20 to 60. The other age groups, such as below 25 and over 60 exhibited a more uniform pattern where the fraction study subjects with deficiency and insufficiency did not significant vary. Interestingly, four children with the age of 1 year showed vitamin D toxicity. Taken together all these results, it can be concluded that an inverse correlation of vitamin D levels and age was not observed in the current study subjects rather a subgroup of the study subjects with age range of 20 to 60 was found to be more vulnerable to Hypovitaminosis D. Intriguingly, the subgroup of the study subjects with age greater than 60 were less affected with Hypovitaminosis D.
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The Impact of Gender on the Vitamin D Levels of the Study Population

Next, to determine the impact of the gender of the study subjects on the vitamin D levels, we classified the study subjects according to their gender in different clinically stratified groups such as Vitamin D deficient, insufficient, normative and toxic (Figure 3). The gender-wise classification showed that in all four groups females are predominant than males (Figure 3). In deficient group the percentage of female (78%) was far greater than male (22%). The gap between females and males were little bit lower but still significant in insufficient (73% female and 27% male), normative (71% female and 29% male) and toxic group (62% female and 38% male) (Figure 3). In other words the highest female to male ratio was found for the deficient group (3.52) followed by the insufficient group (2.70) and normative group (2.44). The lowest female to male ratio was identified for toxic group (1.63). These results highlighted the fact that female population in Bangladesh are at far greater risk of Hypovitaminosis D than males.

Figure 3. The impact of gender on the vitamin D levels of the study population

Analysis of Female Age Groups to Determine the Age-Specific Female Vulnerability towards Vitamin D Deficiency

Having divided the study population according gender, next we wanted to divide the gender-specific study population (female and male) into pre-defined age groups with year age interval and investigate the percentage of the four clinically scarified study subjects in these age groups. To achieve this, the ages of male and female study subjects ranging from 1 to above 80 were divided into nine groups with age interval of 10 year. Interestingly, the age-group analysis between females and males showed some deviations. For males Vitamin D deficiency fraction is almost uniformly distributed ranging from 39% to 46% across all age group with the exception of the age group ≥80 in which the fraction of the Vitamin D deficient subjects was 25%. In contrast, for females, there was a large variation of in the number of Vitamin D deficient subjects. For instance, the highest fraction of Vitamin D deficient subjects were identified in the age group 10-19 (69%) closely followed by 20-21 (68%) and 30-39 (67%). The later age groups – 40-49, 50-59, 60-69 and 70-79 showed a decreased fraction of Vitamin D deficient subjects – 59%, 53%, 46% and 45% respectively. Interestingly, the lowest age group 0-9 and highest age group ≥80 showed the lowest fraction of Vitamin D deficient subjects.
**DISCUSSION**

Determining vitamin D status of a population can be challenging. It is evident that though vitamin D deficiency occurs in all parts of the world, significant vitamin D deficiency is very common in all age groups in South Asia and the Middle East\(^7,8\). In Bangladesh (24°N), hypovitaminosis D is more common in women regardless of age, lifestyle, and clothing\(^9\). Prevalence of hypovitaminosis was 38% in Bangladeshi women from high-income group and increased slightly to 50% in women from low-income groups \(^9\). High prevalence of hypovitaminosis D in Bangladesh and in South Asia can be explained by skin pigmentation and traditional clothing. Air pollution and limited outdoor activity further compounds this problem in the urban population.

It is possible that other regions in Asia and Oceania (or even Africa, which remains largely unstudied) may also be similarly affected, but definitive comments cannot be made in absence of more complete data\(^10\).

The vitamin D status within different European countries shows a high variation. A serum 25(OH) D lower than 25 nmol/l was found in 2% to 30% of adults, but this percentage may increase to 75% or more in older persons in institutions\(^11\). Despite
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Growing awareness of the multiple health benefits of an adequate vitamin D status, vitamin D insufficiency abounds and is a growing problem in the USA and Canada. Hypovitaminosis D can affect all age groups, from the newborn to the elderly, and is dependent on several lifestyle and environmental conditions. In general, the cutaneous production of vitamin D3 declines with age and often, the elderly have to be confined to indoors for prolonged periods of time, which compounds the problem. Not surprisingly, therefore, elderly have lower circulating 25(OH)D levels, as compared to the young population from the same regions and with similar skin colour. Similarly, hypovitaminosis D affects both men and women in all age groups but mainly depends on external factors. However, there is evidence that women have lower levels than men in elderly Europeans, in non-institutionalized American civilians of various ethnic subgroups, and women breast-feeding for long periods of time are at higher risk of hypovitaminosis D. Gender differences also occur due to clothing differences where adolescent girls and adult women wearing the veil have lower levels of vitamin D throughout the year compared to their male counterparts.

The current study unreveals the higher incidence rate of Vitamin D deficiency among the females of reproductive age. The classical role of vitamin D was considered in the maintenance of skeletal health in the older adult.

Traditionally, it was assumed that older adults are at risk of vitamin D deficiency resulting from the reduced cutaneous synthesis and dietary intake of vitamin D. Interestingly our study reveals a different scenario in Bangladesh where higher incidence of vitamin D deficiency was marked in female population of reproductive age. This notion vitamin deficiency onset in relatively younger woman was not entirely novel. Previously Woo et al. showed high rates of hypovitaminosis D was found in females of childbearing age in Beijing and Hong Kong where the authors estimated a higher occurrence of Vitamin D insufficiency than deficiency. In contrast our results showed an opposite trend of hypovitaminosis D in Bangladesh where the rate of occurrence of Vitamin D deficiency is higher than insufficiency. The higher incidence of vitamin D deficiency in comparison to insufficient appears to be very intriguing and demands for further explanation.

Another interesting aspect is the Vitamin-D toxicity (VDT) that was observed in 58 individuals representing 0.7% of the total study subjects of which 12 subjects have an age of under-five. The adult patients who showed significant higher levels of Vitamin D may have likely undergone a treatment regimen with Vitamin D to compensate their hypovitaminosis and the toxicity may have resulted from the overdose or mal-adjustment of the Vitamin D dosage. Previously it has been shown that VDT can be stemmed from the inappropriate intake of very high doses of vitamin D and is associated with hypercalcemia. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] concentrations higher than 150 ng/ml (375 nmol/l) are the hallmark of VDT due to vitamin D overdosing. However, the vitamin D toxicity for children under 5 years of age cannot be explained by the increased intake of Vitamin-D. It has been observed that endogenous VDT may develop from excessive production of an active vitamin D metabolite – 1,25(OH)2D in granulomatous disorders and in some lymphomas or from the reduced degradation of that metabolite in idiopathic infantile hypercalcemia. Endogenous VDT may also develop from an excessive production of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)2D in congenital disorders, such as Williams–Beuren syndrome. It would be necessary to further diagnose those subjects to explain their toxic serum Vitamin levels.
Conclusion

Numbers of people with VDD are continuously increasing; the importance of this hormone in overall health and the prevention of chronic diseases are at the forefront of research. VDD is very common in all age groups. Very few foods contain vitamin D therefore guidelines recommended supplementation of vitamin D at tolerable UL levels. It is also suggested to measure the serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level as the initial diagnostic test in patients at risk for deficiency. Treatment with either vitamin D₂ or vitamin D₃ is recommended for the deficient patients. More research is required to recommend screening individuals who are not at risk for deficiency or to prescribe vitamin D to attain the noncalcemic benefit for cardiovascular protection.
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