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Abstract

Objective: to report a new procedure namely ‘capsule sparing’ cystoprostadenectomy for orthotopic bladder replacement that overcomes the problems of difficult urethral anastomosis, impotence and incontinence. The question addressed in the present study was whether the objectives of ‘nerve-sparing’ cystectomy and orthotopic bladder replacement can be preserved, the incidence of incontinence and impotence improved, and surgery made easier and less demanding without compromising the radical excision of cancer.

Patients and methods: The new surgery was implemented on 4 patients with confined bladder cancer as replacement for ‘nerve-sparing’ cystoprostadenectomy. The new technique is described in which cystoprostadenectomy for orthotopic bladder replacement while sparing the prostatic capsule.

Results: The objectives of ‘nerve-sparing’ cystectomy and orthotopic bladder replacement are preserved, the incidence of incontinence and impotence improved, and surgery made easier and less demanding without compromising the radical excision of cancer.

Conclusion: The spared prostatic capsule overcomes the technical difficulty of urethral anastomosis to the neobladder replacement, allowing an easy and robust anastomosis, faster surgery, minimal leakage, no complications and a shorter hospital stay. Preserving potency and continent normal voiding improves the social image and quality of life, both appreciated by the patient.
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Key Points

Question

Can the objectives of ‘nerve-sparing’ cystectomy and orthotopic bladder replacement be preserved, the incidence of incontinence and impotence improved, and surgery made easier and less demanding without compromising the radical excision of cancer?

Findings

The point of technique reported here achieves the objectives of ‘nerve-sparing’ cystectomy and orthotopic bladder replacement while fulfilling the goals mentioned in the question above.

Meaning

The spared prostatic capsule overcomes the technical difficulty of urethral anastomosis to the neobladder replacement, allowing an easy and robust anastomosis, faster surgery, minimal leakage, no complications and a shorter hospital stay.

Introduction

The standard surgical therapy for organ-confined...
invasive bladder carcinoma is radical cystoprostatectomy, which necessitates an internal or external urinary diversion. The ileal conduit has been the ‘gold standard’ diversion during the last half century despite the availability of arguably better alternatives, e.g. orthotopic bladder substitutes, where the urethra can be preserved or internal ureterosigmoidostomy. Most of the problems met in ureterosigmoidostomy occur when the ureters are implanted directly into a peristaltic sphincter-controlled intact bowel tube. This caused the long-term complications of reflux and infection-induced renal damage, metabolic disturbance and malignant transformation of bowel, mainly of adenocarcinoma but also of other rare tumours. However, not all such problems are relevant to the patient with cancer when using the new methods of diversion of isolated detubularized bowel segments.

Ureteric reflux is managed by detubularizing the bowel segment into pouch and creating an antireflux mechanism either by a nipple valve or one of many tunnelling methods. The submucosal tunnel is commonly used with colon bladders and the serous-lined extramural tunnel is the current ‘state of the art’ used with the ileal bladder. Currently there is almost no reflux and it is less of a problem than anastomotic stricture, affecting 7% of cases. The issue of the need for an antireflux mechanism was discussed by Studer et al.

For restoring normal voiding, various bowel segments have been used for constructing an orthotopic bladder pouch substitute either from ileum, caecum and ileum (Mainz) or sigmoid. Genito-reproductive functions were initially ignored, being less important, particularly in the ageing Western patient with cancer. However, a younger group of selected patients with bladder cancer and of reproductive function in selected patients. The Walsh ‘nerve-sparing’ technique for preserving potency was introduced over 17 years ago in radical prostatectomy and the same technique is used in total cystectomy. At present, impotence affects at least a third of patients after ‘nerve-sparing’ procedures, even in the most experienced hands, with values of 45% and 49% reported. The high incidence of impotence was explained by unnoticed damage to the pudendal artery, but another factor responsible was affirmed by the reported anatomical relationship of Denonvillier’s fascia with the neurovascular plexus that is not lateralized, scattered and mingled with posterolateral aspects of the fascia, often excised during ‘nerve-sparing’ cystectomy.

The question addressed in the present study was whether the objectives of ‘nerve-sparing’ cystectomy and orthotopic bladder replacement can be preserved, the incidence of incontinence and impotence improved, and surgery made easier and less demanding without compromising the radical excision of cancer. The feasibility of the new method was encouraged as ‘nerve-sparing’ modifications do not compromise cancer control but the prostate margin is constantly tumour-free and total urethrectomy is rarely required in men. Thus this report describes experience with the technique of radical retropubic extraperitoneal cystoprostatectomy for orthotopic bladder substitution with ‘prostate capsule-sparing’ that preserves the neurovascular plexus, assuring better potency and continent normal voiding, and making the neo-vesicocapsular anastomosis easier, without compromising radical cancer excision.

**Patients and Methods**

The pre-surgical assessment included a chest X-ray, CT, cystoscopy and biopsy for staging the bladder tumour and assuring a tumour-free urethra. Through a lower midline incision and retropubic posterolateral extraperitoneal bladder exposure, dissection was limited to mobilising both ureters, ligating superior vesical vessels and pelvic lymph node excision (Fig. 1). The posterolateral surface of the prostate with surrounding Denonvillier’s fascia, neurovascular plexus and membranous urethra were left intact, which is the key point in the new technique. Anterior prostatic veins were divided between ligatures and the prostate capsule opened transversely ≈ 0.5 cm below the bladder neck, as in retropubic prostatectomy. The index finger was used for enucleating the whole prostate adenoma, separating it all around from the
capsule, without opening the urethra or disturbing its attachment to the bladder. The urethra was cut transversely below the verumontanum using curved scissors from within the capsule cavity. The intact prostate adenoma with closed urethra was delivered outside the prostatic capsule cavity and kept attached to the intact bladder-containing tumour. A circumferential incision completed the anterior transverse incision of the prostatic capsule. The inferior vesical vessels and seminal vesicles were cut across between ligatures. The cystoprostadenectomy specimen with the full length of the prostatic urethra was removed en bloc, including the peritoneal cover and seminal vesicles, leaving the prostate capsule cup (Fig 2a). A suitable bowel segment on a pedicled blood supply was split longitudinally and constructed into a bladder pouch. The technique for the W-ileal bladder with serous-lined extramural tunnel1 and sigmoid neobladder12 were used in two patients each (Fig. 2b). The ureters were implanted into the neobladder pouch and posterior suture line(s) closed. The neobladder neck was sutured to the posterior margin of the prostatic capsule. After placing a urethral Foley catheter and exteriorizing the ureteric catheters through the anterior wall of the neobladder and abdomen, the anterior suture line of the capsule and neobladder was completed. The pelvis was drained and the wound closed in layers.

The excised specimen was sent for pathological tumour staging, and examination of the cut prostate margin and urethra. Ureteric reflux, anastomotic leakage and incontinence were assessed by cystography before removing the catheters 2 weeks after surgery. Impotence and incontinence were assessed by direct enquiry before leaving hospital and during the follow-up.

Figure 1. A diagramatic representation of the technique’s dissection plane (dotted line). Starting at retro-pubic (1) space, visico-prost-adenectomy including bladder containing tumour (2), prostate gland (3) and urethra (4) are excised in mass. The gland is enucleated and urethral divided within the spared capsule (5) protecting the external sphincter (6) and neurovascular bundle (7). Extra-peritoneal division ligature of vas (8), ureter (9), superior vesical vessels (10) and anterior prostatic veins are done at the start. Branches of inferior vesical vessels (11) and seminal vesicles (12) are divided at the line of capsule division. Excision of peritoneal bladder cover opens peritoneal cavity for constructing bowel bladder replacement. Pelvic ganglion (13) and rectum (14) lie within the concavity of sacrum (15).
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Figure 2. a, Spared prostatic capsule cup with the Foley catheter in the cavity and black silk sutures marking its margin, allowing easy access and a robust neobladder-to-capsule anastomosis. b, Neo-orthotopic sigmoid bladder shaped into inverted U pouch. The catheterized single ureter is implanted, posterior suture completed and the neo-bladder neck sutured to the posterior margin of prostate capsule. The Foley catheter balloon occupies the capsule cavity.
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RESULTS

The cystoprostadenectomy was as radical as standard cystectomy, as all removed specimens had a tumour-free cut margin and full-length prostatic urethra. The spared prostatic capsule cup allowed easy access and robust anastomosis to the neobladder substitute. The procedure was undertaken in four patients (mean age 47 years, sd 5.2) between 1994 and 2000, with no mortality or morbidity. The mean (sd) duration of surgery was 4.7 (0.9) h. Three patients had TCC and one squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). The tumour was bladder-confined (pT2N0M0) in all except the patient with SCC, whose tumour invaded the bladder adventitia (T3). Three patients survived at a mean (sd, range) follow-up of 3.6 (1.8, 1.2–6) years. The patient with SCC had local pelvic recurrence after 6 months and died within 2 years.

Cystography for reflux, anastomotic leakage and incontinence was negative and showed a neobladder capacity of > 500 mL. After removing the Foley and ureteric catheters, the patients’ potency was assessed by direct enquiry and response to tactile stimulation, and incontinence further assessed. All patients were dry day and night and all but one uraemic patient reported erection before leaving hospital within 3 weeks of surgery, and successful intercourse at home.

The uraemic patient did not, as he progressed into end-stage renal failure requiring dialysis 3 months after surgery. This patient had TCC involving his right kidney, ureter and bladder (Fig. 3) and left hydronephrosis that progressed to renal failure. Although there were only four patients in the present study, this is acceptable and most previous modifications were reported on 4–10 patients. A similar technique based on TUR of prostatic adenoma followed by open ‘capsule-sparing’ cystectomy was reported in eight patients, with all having preserved potency and continence. However, such a TUR technique may increase the complexity and time required for surgery, as well as carrying a possible risk of cancer cell implantation.

The present method overcomes the technical difficulty of urethral anastomosis to the neobladder replacement, allowing an easy and robust anastomosis, faster surgery, minimal leakage, no complications and a shorter hospital stay. The spared prostatic capsule cup augments the neobladder pouch capacity and protects the outlying neurovascular plexus, assuring a minimal incidence of impotence.
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handling of the membranous urethra protects the controlling action of the surrounding sphincter muscle, preventing incontinence. Preserving potency and continent normal voiding improves the social image and quality of life, both appreciated by the patient (Fig. 4). The advantages of the present technique to patients, evident soon after surgery and affirmed on follow-up, may increase the popularity of an already better procedure.

The method removed the bladder with a closed bladder neck, a full length prostatic urethra and adenoma, allowing a larger safety margin than is possible during the resection of cancer bladder from the adjacent pelvic structures. The present technique does not compromise radical cancer excision, particularly as long-term results show that the prostatic margin is always tumour-free and that the urethra is rarely involved in men.

Figure 3. Nephroureterectomy and cystoprostadeneectomy specimens excised at the same sitting, showing the tumour involving the kidney (K), ureter (U) and bladder (B). The cut edge of prostate adenoma (PA) and urethra (PU) extending below the verumontanum was tumour-free. Despite the extensive epithelial spread of transitional cell tumour, the stage was pT2N0M0. Cystoprostadeneectomy with ‘prostate capsule sparing’ is as radical as total cystectomy but assures preservation of the neurovascular plexus and sphincter-controlled membranous urethra, preventing impotence and incontinence.
Figure 4. Shows a patient at the post-operative period, on whom the reported procedure (Fig. 2) was performed, expressing his appreciation for the result. He gave consent to photography and publication.
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