Introduction

This article considers how Michel Foucault’s concept of ‘technologies of self’ can be related to understanding aging and bio-ethics in contemporary society. We can highlight how a Foucauldian analysis can identify both how the identities of older people are both facilitated and constrained by bio-technologies. The paper assesses major works undertaken by ‘critical’ theorists of health and medicine such as Armstrong (1983) who talks of intense surveillance between subjects and professionals which epitomises Foucault’s notion of ‘panoptic’ power in which surveillance and power relations manifest in a ‘top down’ or structuralist context (Powell, 2018). There is a need to employ methodological insights deriving from Foucault’s (1988) later work in order to provide more of an holistic framework for understanding bio-ethics and old age. In this way, we can have an ontologically flexible narrative which illuminates both the constraining and facilitative features of bio-ethics discourses for older people.

Nevertheless, a major theme on the health and medical sociological research agenda, the debate about age inequalities in health and access to medicine confronts an essential paradox towards the year 2020. Whilst critical sociological research illuminates enduring socio-economic differentials in the life course (Powell 2018) and the importance and significance of biography, time and longitudinal lifecourse research (Moody 1998); the central issue remains that once a standard of living and epidemiological foundation has been established, other, more dispersed and intangible factors take over as major determinants of ‘socially patterned’ disease in the global arena. Central to these developments has been a growing interest in ‘bio-ethics’ and use of technologies of bio-medicine (Powell, 2017). There are a number of value dilemmas in the care and medical treatment for older people that relate to ethical decision-making (Moody 1998). However, it is how decisions are made and who makes decisions, which impinge upon access to health care and issues of inclusion and exclusion (Powell, 2017).

Moody (1998) claims a crucial ethical debate in health and medicine is whether older people should have their health care needs and resources curtailed simply because of their ‘age’. Such an ethical statement and stance justifies ageism via the exclusionary processes of a social grouping based upon their chronological ‘age’ (Powell, 2018). Before, the article attempts to rethink bio-ethics, we need to understand current thinking on bio-ethics. The concept of ‘bio-ethics’ is full of contested connotations. It is an esoteric word, and in everyday conversation between non-specialist,
and informed participants, few would claim to know exactly what it means. And yet the word is often used, as if its meaning were obvious and understood by all.

Other connotations of bio-ethics are more broader in outlook: here ‘bio-ethics’ points to a more comprehensive medical and postmodern outlook capable of addressing psychological, biological and cultural dimensions of health and disease (Powell, 2018; Morris 1998). Significantly, bio-ethical dimensions of older people’s experiences relate to two distinctive narratives: ‘holistic’ and ‘alternative’. A holistic approach to cancer suggests special attention be paid to the older ‘patient’ as a whole. An alternative approach suggests non-invasive diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, techniques and biotechnologies of health management and cure for older people (Nettleton 1995; Powell, 2018).

Ambivalence can be seen in the tension between manifest justifications of health technology and the parallel attitudes and relational inequalities that accompany it. Intervention is justified as helping behaviour; a remedy to personal distress and it’s potential for increased quality of life (Powell, 2017). However, the application of bio-technology can also perpetuate a part-view of people as ‘bodies with illnesses or dysfunction’ that reduces legitimate experience to passive feedback on technological success. If we move into the terrain of the sociology of health we find a similar ambivalence. Sociology proposes to analyse the ‘bio-social’ aspects of the aetiology of health and illness (Armstrong 1983; Nettleton 1995; Powell, 2018). A significant contribution of sociology as a discipline has been to highlight how individual lives and illnesses which was thought to be determined solely by biological, medical and psychological factors, are, in fact, heavily influenced by social environments in which people live. This remains invisible to the older ‘patient’ as a whole. An alternative approach suggests non-invasive diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, techniques and biotechnologies of health management and cure for older people (Nettleton 1995; Powell, 2018).

Extricating from the earlier work of Foucault, David Armstrong (1983, 1987) has warned sociological disciplines against the seduction of a relationship of co-operation whose terms remain dictated by medicine itself. He further cautions sociological disciplines against becoming ‘an emasculated, uncritical appendage’ of biomedicine (1987: 1217), as a result of endorsing too easily an alliance of bio-social perspectives. This proposal, Armstrong contends, should be regarded as a veil offered in response to increasing consumer dissatisfaction with medicine’s failure to address patients as persons. Similarly, a number of Foucauldian gerontological arguments have developed in recent years that claim medical power must be regarded as a ‘dangerous’ expansion of power and surveillance which reaches into the lives of older people (Katz 1996; Biggs and Powell 1999; Powell 1998; Powell and Biggs 2000; Powell, 2017). Nevertheless, perhaps a reflective criticism of Armstrong’s warnings is that the difference between biomedicine and sociology is illusory as both comply with the aging body-as-object as the main criteria of pathological ‘truth’. In this discursive context, the connotation of ‘bio-ethics’ raises critical questions about how two-thirds of deaths in the USA occur amongst older people (Moody 1998). Access to health care services is contingent across and through the blurred structural fault lines of ‘race’, class, gender, sexuality, disability and how these inter-relate with age but also through the negotiative power of institutional and professional practices who provide medical and health care for older people. Can we rethink this power relationship? The sociological alternative is to fundamentally challenge to what is seen as a form of ‘epistemological imperialism’ in the definition of bio-ethics (Strong 1979; Powell, 2017).
Thus, the sociological tradition juxtaposes social understandings of disease to the biological definitions that are operant in medical institutions (Powell, 2017).

Bio-ethics conveys associations of deception and self-deception; or it conveys that older people have failed to ‘get oneself together’ in managing health situations (Moody 1998; Powell, 2018). Indeed, there is the suggestion that we as human beings are ‘responsible’ (Rose 1990) for looking after our own health needs. The important point Nikolas Rose is making is that the problem of illness bears on the constitution of the self as an ethical subject, the sense of what we do with our freedom, the extent to which we acknowledge it and with it, and the extent to which we engage with attributions of self responsibility. As Arney and Bergen suggest, an interpretation of modern medical encounters should start:

‘not in the doctors office but in the modern bookstore…. Indeed the modern bookstore suggests that patients, ex-patients and would be patients are forming themselves into a social movement that is not unlike a rebellion. It seems the self is asserting itself against medical indifference to the experiences and emotions that make up life, and it seems that the self is calling into question the power of the physician’ (1984: 2).

The increasing popularisation of some key terms of biomedicine has had the effect of producing an intra-subjective consciousness, and a conspicuousness of behaviour, either for health or against it (Powell, 2017). Moral action, whether it is individual or collective, involves the self-knowing the self, a process of self-formation as an ethical aging subject. Self-responsibility, when passed through the metaphor of ‘health’, becomes a covert form of moral judgement upon which decisions to supply or deny (often-expensive) forms of bio-technology can be made. A ‘healthy old age’ no longer represents good fortune, but is seen to be the result of prudent self-care currently, and in the past. A healthy old age signifies that one has lived a ‘moral life’ that not only has its own rewards, but relieves others of any obligation, financial or otherwise, to care (Powell, 2018). By comparison, becoming unhealthy approximates being undeserving (Powell, 2018). One is unwell because one is unhealthy and one is unhealthy because the proper steps of self-care had not been taken in the past. So why should others have to provide scarce resources to make good this moral turpitude? Such an attitude to the healthy body presents moral decisions on the supply and demand for services in the ‘neutral’ language of techno-medical science (Powell, 2017). However, the outcome is that the prudent do not need it whilst the imprudent do not deserve it. Any allusion here to economic planning and to pension policy is more than passing for in both cases it is the resource-rich who can afford, but may rarely need such technology, whereas the resource-poor are denied it. But under such conditions, Foucault (1987) claims ethical practices involves individual subjectivity to analyse themselves and their own ‘needs’ as it involves:

‘a process by which the individual delimits that part of himself that will form the object of his ethical practice, defines his position relative to the precept he will follow, and decides on a certain mode of being that will serve as his moral goal. And this requires him to act upon himself, to monitor, test, improve and transform himself’ (Foucault 1987: 28).

Despite a huge upsurge in the label of ‘Foucauldian’ attached to medical sociological research, this has tended to draw upon Foucault’s (1967, 1972 and 1977) earlier work (Armstrong 1983, 1987). Whilst there is a small but growing body of gerontological knowledge which draws from Foucault’s (1988) later work in Canada and USA (Frank 1998) in examining ‘technologies of self’, this remains quite invisible in the critical gerontological literature in the United Kingdom despite some exceptions (Rose 1990; Powell, 2017). The rest of the paper seeks to understand and interconnect the theoretical perspective of ‘technologies of self’ and relate to old age and aging that is relevant to the discourses and practices of bio-ethics.

**Foucault, Technologies of Self and Aging**

‘It may be that the problem about the self does not have to do with discovering what it is, but maybe has to do with discovering that the self is nothing more than a correlate of technology built into our history’ (Foucault 1993: 222).

Foucault’s formulation presumes the notion that individual lives are never quite complete and finished – that in order to function socially individuals must somehow work on themselves to turn themselves into subjects (Powell, 2018). The notion of ‘technologies’ offers the opportunity for a particular analysis of the sites and methods whereby certain effects on the
subject are brought about (Powell, 2018). Objectifying technologies of control are for example those invented in conformity with the facets of self-understanding provided by criminality, sexuality, medicine and psychiatry investigated by Foucault (Powell, 2018). These are deployed within concrete institutional settings whose architecture testifies to the ‘truth’ of the objects they contain. For example, the possibilities of self-experience on the part of the subject are in itself affected by the presence of someone who has the authority to decide that they are ‘truly’ ill such as a ‘doctor’ of medicine (Armstrong 1983). ‘Subjectifying’ technologies of self-control are those through which individuals:

‘effect by their own means or with the help of others a certain number of their operations on their own bodies and souls, thoughts, conduct and way of being, so as to transform themselves in order to attain a certain state of happiness, purity, wisdom, perfection or immortality’ (Foucault 1988: 18).

These important issues are associated to ‘truthful’ formulations of the task or the problem that certain domains of experience and activity pose, in this case for older people themselves. The boundaries of self-experience change with every acquisition, on the part of older individuals, of a possibility, or a right, or an obligation, to state a certain ‘truth’ about themselves (Powell, 2018). For example, bio-technology can tell a ‘truth’ of selling a dream of unspoken desire of ‘not growing old’ to older people. However, it is the self-experience of aging subjects that can refute, deny and accept the ‘truth’ claims of bio-technology. In the case of aging lifestyles, the active adoption of particular consumer practices, such as uses of bio-technology contributes to a narrative that is both compensatory and ‘ageless’ in its construction of self. Thus, the recourse to the notion of technologies of self is capable of accommodating the complexity of the ‘subject’ (Powell, 2017). Although Foucault maintained the distinction between the technologies of power/domination and the technologies of self, these should not be regarded as acting in opposition to or in isolation to one another. Indeed, Foucault frequently spoke of the importance of considering the contingency of both in their interaction and interdependence, by identifying specific examples ‘the point where the technologies of domination of individuals over one another have recourse to processes by which the individual acts upon himself and, conversely, the points where the technologies of the self are integrated into structures of coercion’ (Foucault 1993: 203). The distinction should therefore be considered as a heuristic device and not the portrayal of two conflicting sets of interests. Indeed, it is mythical to suggest that Foucault’s early and late works are opposite: they are complementary in understanding social relations (Powell, 2018).

If we relate Foucault’s main aims mapped out to the ‘aging body’ and disease – all disease that pertains to the aging body in its concrete objectivity is beyond the foundation of relevance as the expression of a subjectivity evidences a relation between the ethical subject and truth. That is what changes, what is newly problematized, in modern bio-ethical discourse. How then is modern bio-ethics rooted in a specific configuration of subjectivity? The task of the rest of the paper is to highlight some of the conditions of how bio-ethics as a form of problematization, poses as a question of illness treatment and bodily enhancement. The aging body culturally represents the best hiding place, a hiding place of internal illnesses that remains inconspicuous until the advent of bio-ethics. We can also probe how this problematic modifies the possibilities for the constitution and transformation of the self as a subject. In other words, what are the effects of this problematization given its conditions of possibility? Subjective relations to the self will be affected to the extent that bio-ethics confronts older people with the proposition that this subjective truth – the truth of their relation to themselves and to others – may be revealed by their ‘aging bodies’. If this is legitimate, we may anticipate through ‘biology and culture’ (Morris 1998) the problematic of illnesses associated with aging rejoins the sphere of bio-ethics, in modernity, through the back door. ‘Illness’ as problematized by bio-ethics will again belong to the strategic margin that older people embodies as subjects of purposeful action (Powell, 2018). While confronting an illness this involves a deliberate practice of self-transformation and such tranformativity must pass through learning about the self from the truth told by narratives of illness (Frank 1996, 1998; Powell, 2018). This is what we can glean as a hypothesis, by building abstractly on contemporary arguments that chart the development of a privileged relationship between the aging self and its truth. The rest of the paper examines whether and how different aspects of these conditions of possibility organise the actual propositions of bio-ethical discourse. The paper analyses in particular
how technologies of the self can be applied to three specific areas of aging: maintenance of health; increase in counselling; and bodily enhancement.

**Aging and Illness**

With the rise of modernity, the ‘hospital’ became a specialised therapeutic place and supporting structure for the medical staffing of the elderly population as ‘patients’ (Katz 1996; Powell, 2018). The rise of western rationality made it possible to medicalise hospitals: this is how the production of medical knowledge was spatio-temporally aligned with the medical treatment of many elderly patients. The relevance of this institutional process to the development of bio-ethics can be appreciated via a detour through classificatory practices (Powell and Biggs 2000; Powell, 2017). Within the analytical economy of assistance, the notions of the ‘sick’ and the ‘ill’ patient have appeared as newly distinctive modern categories (Katz 1996). The new knowledge spoke of forms of pathology relating to the capacity to will, to act, to make decisions and ultimately to be free to choose medicines to meet ill needs. The development in the U.K of a universal National Health Service (NHS) seemed to reiterate and sanction this knowledge problematic. Universal access to medical and health care was a key discursive touchstone of the state’s reconstruction of society after world war two (Alcock 1996; Powell, 2018). However, older people were portrayed as a stoical and heroic survivor in the immediate post-war period in Britain, this image was contingent upon an absence of demand upon the rest of society. This ambivalence was reinforced by the difficulty of reconciling old age with the rhetoric of progress and investment for the future that characterised the growth and ideological justification of childcare. Neither did older people fit narratives of production, work and usefulness to capitalist production. Old age then took on a problem focus. These narratives held dominant ideas that helped shape and legitimise policies of retirement and subsequent inequality (Powell, 2017). Indeed, ‘old age’ throughout the twentieth century has been seen as a social and medical problem and this predominant perspective is evident through the language used by policy makers. Similarly, at the turn of the new millennium, access to health care has become fragmented and limited, contingent upon regional variations of ‘waiting lists’ and medical priorities. Such a fragmentation of access to health care impacts upon unequal social relations between social groupings across the lifecourse and subsequent claims for access to health care.

The shift from universalism to fragmentation has had the effect of creating a ‘consumer culture’ which is symptomatic of postmodernity (Featherstone and Wernick 1995; Carter 1998; Powell, 2018). Within a Postmodern analysis, Blaikie (1999) deconstructs biological classifications of old age and instead reconstructs the cultural implications of population aging. Blaikie looks to the increased leisure opportunities associated with old age and claims consumer culture is breaking down dominant rigid stereotypes of marginalisation and medicalisation. Within such a state of condition, Blaikie (1999) claims there are spaces for self-regulation which allow for better understanding of ourselves and our health needs. Similarly, the paper appropriates the usefulness of ‘technologies of self’ as applied to more healthy aging practices. Indeed, technologies for the healthy aging self can be identified in at least three areas: first, there is the growing interest in the maintenance of existing good health (Baltes and Carstensen 1996; Powell, 2017). This would include the growing market for healthy eating and exercise from midlife onwards; second, there is an increase in the use of counselling, and most notably narrative therapies in later life (Knight 1996; Biggs 1999); third, there is the use of ‘bio’ and other forms of technology to modify and in some cases enhance bodily performance (Shilling 1993; Featherstone and Wernick 1995). These technologies of self very much epitomise the earlier discourse derived from Nettleton (1995) as alternative therapeutic procedures, techniques and bio-technologies of health management and cure for older people (Powell, 2018).

In the first case, it has been noted (Gittings 1997) that whereas in previous eras, the control of the body had been enhanced by external constraining virtue of the corset, contemporary shaping has involved active working, through exercise and diet. The multiplication of magazine articles, self-help manuals, diet and exercise clubs, extending through midlife and beyond also bear witness to the popularity of attempts to work on the self in this way. Baltes and Carstenson (1996) and Powell (2017) have indicated that a closer attention to the maintenance of bodily and mental capacity is typical of later life.

The use of diet and exercise as techniques specifically
related to later adulthood, is closely related to the growth of leisure and a lifestyle approach to the creation of late life identities. It therefore resonates beyond the simple fuelling and repair of the bodily machine to include a continual re-creation of the self within a particular social discourse. This discourse closely associates the construction of a healthy lifestyle with positive self-identity (Powell, 2018).

Perhaps the most notable increase in the use of technologies of the self can be seen in the use and promotion of counselling and psychotherapy for older adults. Having for many years been actively discouraged, life-course counselling, and most notably narrative counselling is currently undergoing a gerontological renaissance (Knight 1996; Biggs 1999). The focus here has been on ‘re-storying’ or re-inventing oneself in line with current life-priorities. It involves a characteristically different relationship to the personal past than that adopted by traditional psychotherapy. Whereas the past had previously been seen as a repository of experiences that determined choices in the present, the narrative approach sees personal memory as a sort of ‘rag-bag’ of vignettes and experiences that can be recombined to tell whatever story best fits contemporary identity needs (Powell, 2017). McAdams (1993), perhaps the best known narrative therapist and one with a particular interest in midlife identity, links the popularity of narrative techniques with wider social trends toward a blurred life-course. ‘Because’ he states ‘our world can no longer tell us who we are and how we should live, we must figure it out on our own’ (McAdams 1993: 35). This technology and discourse has been invented which promotes self-care. This time the focus is on the psyche: a healthy mind is associated with the capacity to re-author oneself and keep that narrative going (Powell, 2018).

The third example of a technique of self refers to a direct use of new technology to either modify the appearance or performance of identity. To paraphrase Morris (1998) technologies here hold out the promise of ‘utopian bodies’. Indeed, Haraway’s (1991) original reference to cyborgic fusion of biological and machine entities has been enthusiastically taken up by postmodern gerontology (Powell, 2018). The list of technologies available extends beyond traditional prosthesis to include virtual identities created by and reflected in the growing number of ‘silver surfers’ using the Internet as a free-floating form of identity management. Thus Featherstone and Wernick (1995: 3) trill that it is now possible to ‘re-code the body itself ‘as biomedical and information technologies make available’ the capacity to alter not just the meaning, but the very material infrastructure of the body. Bodies can be re-shaped, remade, fused with machines, empowered through technological devices and extensions’.

In each case, a technology has been employed in order to re-shape the aging self in later life, in order to overcome or destabilise existing discourses on the aging self. The ethics of such re-invention have been explored in terms of the economic costs and personal benefits that might accrue, and have been outlined at the beginning of this article (Powell, 2017). The bio-ethics of using such technology to deny the force of aging as a human experience have been subject to less scrutiny (Powell, 2018). Indeed it is perhaps emblematic of contemporary western culture that each of the technologies identified above offer the promise of escape from, rather than a deepened understanding of aging identity (Powell, 2017).

**Conclusion**

The purpose of this paper has been to critically identify how ‘aging’ modifies the theoretical direction in terms of how we rethink health and medicine and associated issues of illness and access to health and medical technologies (Powell, 2017). Whilst some sociological input has been influenced by the earlier of work of Foucault in raising critical questions of the medical ‘gaze’ of aging (Powell and Biggs 2000; Powell, 2018) this article has sought to complement such an approach through how subjectivity can be delineated as a core concept in understanding aging and bio-ethics. Indeed, the discourse of bio-ethics shifts the question of health from an ontology of disease dominated by professional discourses (Armstrong 1993, 1987) to an elaborate analysis of ‘technologies of self’ (Foucault 1988) and how this analytical metaphor bears reality on some social practices of bio-technology which impinges upon a social reconstruction of the ethical aging subject. Through the use of a Foucauldian narrative, we have explored three areas of: the maintenance of good health; use of counselling narratives; and bodily enhancement (Powell, 2018). These three technologies have been used to illuminate the different ways in which the aging self has been re-shaped and will continually be re-
shaped by the self’s own consciousness (technologies of self) and by others (technologies for self) (Powell, 2017). The self is caught between an ontological battle of learning about the self and health needs and having their ‘needs’ decided by others (Powell, 2018).
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